

1  
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

4 \* \* \*

5 Stacey McNamara,

6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 GEICO Casualty Company,

9 Defendant.

Case No. 2:22-cv-01770-RFB-DJA

Order

10  
11 Before the Court is a stipulation to stay discovery deadlines pending the outcome of  
12 Defendant GEICO Casualty Company's motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 11). The Court finds that a  
13 stay of discovery is appropriate in this case under the two-step analysis in *Scharder v. Wynn*, No.  
14 2:19-cv-02159-JCM-BNW, 2021 WL 4810324, at \*4 (D. Nev. Oct. 14, 2021). That analysis  
15 provides that a Court may grant motions to stay discovery when a dispositive motion is pending  
16 if: (1) the dispositive motion can be decided without further discovery; and (2) good cause exists  
17 to stay discovery. *Id.* Here, both prongs are met. First, the parties agree that discovery pending  
18 the outcome of the motion to dismiss would be unnecessary. Second, the parties have  
19 demonstrated good cause to stay discovery: because, if the Court grants the motion to dismiss, the  
20 discovery would be moot. A stay would thus accomplish the objectives of Rule 1: a just, speedy,  
21 and inexpensive determination of the action. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.

22 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the stipulation to stay discovery (ECF No. 11) is  
23 **granted.**

24  
25 DATED: January 11, 2023

26  
27   
28 DANIEL J. ALBREGTS  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE